

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/755,884           | 01/05/2001    | Christoph Lodde      | 44815/251563 4102   |                  |
| 7590 11/08/2005      |               | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| Roger T. Frost, Esq. |               |                      | CHANG, VICTOR S     |                  |
| Merchant & Go        | uld, LLC      |                      |                     |                  |
| 3200 IDS Center      |               |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 80 South 80th Street |               |                      | 1771                |                  |
| Minneapolis A        | /N 55402-2215 |                      |                     |                  |

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary  |   | Application No.           | Applicant(s)                 |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|  |   | 09/755,884                | LODDE, CHRISTOPH             |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Examiner                  | Art Unit                     |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Victor S. Chang           | 1771                         |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Oc   | otober 2005               |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | action is non-final.      |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 3)   | Since this application is in condition for allowar  |                           | secution as to the merits is |  |  |  |  |
| ,  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.     |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims  |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | 4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-12 is/are pending in the application.   |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-12</u> is/are rejected.   |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  | ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.                                      |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers   |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  | inder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |   |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  | a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
| ,-   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.                                     |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No                    |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | ·                         |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment   | (s)   |                           |                              |  |  |  |  |
| _  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary (    | (PTO-413)                    |  |  |  |  |
|  | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da       | te                           |  |  |  |  |
|  | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date                                | 5)  Notice of Informal Pa | atent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |

**Art Unit: 1771** 

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Introduction

- 1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicants' amendments and remarks filed on 10/19/2005. Applicants' amendments to claims 1 and 10, and new claims 11 and 12 have been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Rejections not maintained are withdrawn. In particular, Applicant's amendment appears to be sufficient to overcome the prior art reference by Mamish (US 5227225), because at least Mamish lacks a teaching of newly added limitation "to impregnate the nonwoven fiber material without coating the surface of the nonwoven fiber material". As such, the Mamish reference has been withdrawn from the present Office action, and Applicant's arguments are moot. However, if Applicant cancels the above-mentioned limitation, the Mamish reference will be reconsidered, and be reinstated if appropriate.

## Claim Objections

4. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: In new claim 11, line 1, please correct the term "in" as --is--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Art Unit: 1771

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 1-3 and 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

More particularly, it is noted that independent claims 1 and 10 have been amended to recite, *inter alia*, "... being imbued ... to impregnate the nonwoven fiber material without coating the surface of the nonwoven fiber material, thereby preventing penetration of the adhesive coating through the nonwoven fiber material and achieving cohesion of the fibers making up the nonwoven fiber material." While Applicant provided specification, page 1, lines 14-16; page 2, final paragraph; and page 3, lines 1-3, as support for the amendment, however, the Examiner is not able to find any express or implicit support for the newly added limitation "to impregnate the nonwoven fiber material without coating the surface of the nonwoven fiber material", and therefore it appears to be new matter. Additionally, it should also be noted that the negative phrase "without coating" is also inherently equivalent to "free of coating", which is new matter under the rule of *Ex Parte Grasselli et al.* – Bd. of App. 231 PQ 393, Affd. 738 F. 2d 453 (Fed. Cir. 1984) to the effect that a limitation such as "free of" a particular element are new matter in the absence of express support of the concept of exclusion.

Art Unit: 1771

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor S. Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-1474. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00:

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H. Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Victor S Chang

Examiner

Art Unit 1771

11/3/2005